

ASSIGNMENT 2 - SOLUTIONS

Exercise 1. Determine the parameters (n, k, d) of the binary code

$$C = \{00001100, 00001111, 01010101, 11011101\}$$

Solution. $n = 8, k = 3, d = 2$ □

Exercise 2 ($A(n, d)$, extending, puncturing, expurgating). Define the intersection of length n binary vectors x and y to be the vector $x * y = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_ny_n)$.

1. Show that

$$wt(x + y) = wt(x) + wt(y) - 2wt(x * y)$$

where $wt(x)$ denotes the Hamming weight of x .

2. Show that $A(n, d) \leq A(n - 1, d - 1)$ where $A(n, d)$ denotes the largest number of length n codewords with minimum distance at least d . Hint: consider ‘puncturing’, that is removing a common coordinate from every codeword.
3. Show that $A(n, 2r - 1) = A(n + 1, 2r)$. Hint: consider ‘extending’ codewords by adding a parity check bit, i.e., x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n becomes $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \sum x_i$.
4. Show that $A(n, d) \leq 2A(n - 1, d)$. Hint: consider dividing codewords into two classes, those beginning with a 0 and those beginning with a 1.

Solution. 1. Immediate

2. If we delete a coordinate from an (n, M, d) code (n refers to the codeword length, M to the number of codewords, and $2r - 1$ to the minimum distance), we get an $(n - 1, M, \geq d - 1)$ code, hence $A(n, d) \leq A(n - 1, d - 1)$.
3. Let \mathcal{C} be an $(n, M, 2r - 1)$ code. By adding an overall parity check bit we get an $(n + 1, M, 2r)$ code since the minimum distance must be even by 1. and that adding a parity check cannot increase the minimum distance by more than 1. Therefore $A(n, 2r - 1) \leq A(n + 1, 2r)$. Conversely, deleting one coordinate gives an $(n, M, d \geq 2r - 1)$ code (see 2.), hence $A(n, 2r - 1) \geq A(n + 1, 2r)$.
4. Consider an (n, M, d) code. Using the hint, consider removing the smallest of the two classes. The remaining class has at least $M/2$ codewords and its minimum distance is at least d . Therefore $A(n, d)/2 \leq A(n - 1, d)$. □

Exercise 3. For each of the following codes

$$C_1 = \{00000, 01010, 00001, 01011, 01001\}$$

$$C_2 = \{000000, 101000, 001110, 100111\}$$

$$C_3 = \{0000, 1100, 1010, 1001, 0110, 0101, 0011, 1111\}.$$

tell if it is linear and evaluate the parameters (n, k, d) .

Exercise 4. The dual of an $[n, k]_q$ code \mathcal{C} is the set

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

($\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard “scalar” product).

Show that if G and H are the generator and parity matrices, respectively, of \mathcal{C} , then H and G are the generator and parity matrices, respectively, of \mathcal{C}^\perp .

Solution. For any x, x' in the message spaces of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^\perp , respectively, we have

$$\langle xG, x'H \rangle = xGH^T x' = 0$$

since $GH^T = 0$ (see Lemma in the course). Therefore H is the generator matrix of \mathcal{C}^\perp and G its parity matrix (since $HG^T = (HG^T)^{TT} = (GH^T)^T = 0$ by the same lemma). \square

Exercise 5. Let C_1 and C_2 be an $[n, k_1, d_1]$ and an $[n, k_2, d_2]$ code, respectively. Let $C_1|C_2$ be the code consisting of all codewords of the form

$$(u, u + v) = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n, u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, \dots, u_n + v_n)$$

with $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) \in C_1$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in C_2$. Show that $C_1|C_2$ is an $[2n, k_1 + k_2, \min\{2d_1, d_2\}]$ code. *Hint.* consider the cases $v = v'$ and $v \neq v'$. For the second case use the triangle inequality.

Solution. That $C_1|C_2$ has length $2n$ and dimension $k_1 + k_2$ is obvious. Let us consider the minimum distance. If $a = u, u + v$ and $b = u', u' + v'$ are different codewords then $d(a, b) = d(u, u') + d(u + v, u' + v')$. Using this we get

- If $v = v'$ then $d(a, b) \geq 2d_1$
- If $v \neq v'$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b) &= wt(u - u') + wt(u + v - u' - v') \\ &= wt(u' - u) + wt(u + v - u' - v') \\ &\geq wt(u - u' + u + v - u' - v') \\ &= wt(v - v') \\ &\geq d_2 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the minimum distance of $C_1|C_2$ is $\geq \min\{2d_1, d_2\}$ and it is easy to check that this bound is indeed achievable. \square

Exercise 6. In this exercise we show the existence of linear codes over $[q]$, $q \geq 2$, which achieve the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. To that aim we show the existence of a full rank generator matrix G of dimension $k \times n$ such that

$$k = (1 - H_q(\delta) - \varepsilon)n$$

and such that

$$wt(mG) \geq d$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$.

1. Pick G randomly such that each of its elements is independently chosen with the uniform distribution over $[q]$. Fix $m \neq 0$. We first show that for such a random G , mG is a uniformly chosen vector over $[q]^n$.
 - (a) Let X_i denote the i -th symbol of the n -vector mG . Show that X_i is independent of X_j for $i \neq j$.
 - (b) Let $X_i = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j G_{ji}$. Since $m \neq 0$, at least one of its elements is non-zero. Say m_ℓ is the first non-zero element. Thus we can write $X_i = m_\ell G_{\ell i} + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^k m_j G_{ji}$. Using this, show that X_i is uniformly distributed over $[q]$ by conditioning over the possible realizations of $G_{\ell+1,i}, G_{\ell+2,i}, \dots, G_{k,i}$.

2. Deduce that

$$Pr[wt(mG) < d] \leq \frac{q^{nH_q(\delta)}}{q^n}.$$

Hint. $Vol_q(d-1, n) \leq q^{nH_q(\delta)}$.

3. Deduce that $Pr(\exists m : wt(mG) < d) \leq q^{-\varepsilon n}$ for some appropriate choice of k .
4. Conclude the proof.

Solution. 1. (a) Holds since X_i and X_j involve different columns of G and that these columns are independent.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_\ell = x) &= \frac{1}{q^{k-\ell}} \sum_{(g_{\ell+1,i}, g_{\ell+2,i}, \dots, g_{k,i})} P(X_\ell = x | (G_{\ell+1,i}, G_{\ell+2,i}, \dots, G_{k,i}) = (g_{\ell+1,i}, g_{\ell+2,i}, \dots, g_{k,i})) \\ &= \frac{1}{q^{k-\ell}} \sum_{(g_{\ell+1,i}, g_{\ell+2,i}, \dots, g_{k,i})} \frac{1}{q} \\ &= \frac{1}{q}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Holds because of 1.

3. Holds by a union bound over m and by letting $k = (1 - H_q(\delta) - \varepsilon)n$.
4. By the previous step, and because the matrix G is uniformly distributed, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the fraction of the matrices satisfying the desired property tends to one.

□

Exercise 7 (Perfect codes). A code is a perfect t -error correcting code if the set of t -spheres centered on the codewords fill the Hamming space $\{0, 1\}^n$ without overlapping. Here we will show that such codes do not, in general, achieve the capacity of the BSC.

Consider a set of three codewords of length n . Let $u \cdot n$ denote the number of positions where the first codeword differs from both the second and the third codewords, let $v \cdot n$ denote the number of positions where the second codeword differs from both the first and the third codewords, let $w \cdot n$ denote the number of positions where the third codeword differs from both the first and the second codewords, and finally let $z \cdot n$ denote the number of positions where the three codewords agree.

1. Argue that we can assume, without loss of generality, that one of them is the all-zero codeword.
2. Assuming that the code is $f \cdot n$ -error correcting, give necessary conditions on u, v, w .
3. Show that for a certain range of f we must have $u + v + w > 1$ which is impossible.
4. Conclude that, for a certain range of f , perfect codes do not exist.
5. Reconcile this result with the Shannon's result which says that 'with high probability it is possible to correct $f \cdot n$ errors with exponentially many codewords'.

Solution. 1. From a given code, pick any codeword, and XOR it with each codeword in the code. The new code is simply a translated version of the original code, with one codeword being the all-zero codeword. As such it achieves the exact same performance as the original code, both in terms of rate and error probability.

2.

$$u + v > 2f \quad u + w > 2f \quad u + w > 2f$$

3. Summing the three inequalities and dividing by two we get $u + v + w > 3f$. So if $f > 1/3$ the sum exceeds 1, a contradiction.
4. See 3.
5. Hamming error correction requires to be able to correct error patterns exactly. This gives rise to a worst case scenario where the minimum distance plays the central role. In fact, beyond half the minimum distance we cannot guarantee that all error patterns will be corrected. By contrast, Shannon requires to correct error patterns with high probability which, in turn, allows to correct many error patterns above the minimum distance. More specifically, since Shannon's theorem says that (for the BSC) capacity is $1 - H(f)$ (where f is the crossover probability of the channel), it is indeed possible to correct with arbitrarily high probability up to a fraction $f n$ of the codeword.

□

Exercise 8. Is the code $C = \{000, 110, 011, 101\}$ MDS?

Solution. $n = 3, k = 2, d = 2$, hence $d = n - k + 1$ and it is an MDS code. □

Exercise 9. Consider an $[n, k, d]$ MDS code over \mathbb{F}_q . Show that

1. the number of codewords of weight d is

$$N_d = \binom{n}{d}(q-1).$$

Hint. Pick a subset of $k - 1$ coordinates and fix the corresponding values to zero. Pick any other coordinate and let the symbol value in this coordinate run through all q symbols in \mathbb{F}_q .

2. Show that the number of codewords of weight $d + 1$ is

$$N_{d+1} = \binom{n}{d+1} \left((q^2 - 1) - \binom{d+1}{d}(q-1) \right).$$

Solution. 1. Because the code is MDS, for any given k coordinates, the components correspond to codewords in a one-to-one manner, that is they span every of the q^k components. Now, pick arbitrary $k - 1$ components and fix the corresponding values to zero. Because of the previous argument, this set of $k - 1$ zero components is consistent with at least one other codeword. Now, pick another component. To any non-zero value of this component corresponds a unique codeword whose weight is at most $n - (k - 1)$, but since the minimum weight is d , they all have weight d . Hence, for any given subset of $k - 1$ coordinates, there are $q - 1$ codewords of weight d and with zeroes at those $k - 1$ positions. In total we thus have $(q - 1) \binom{n}{k-1} = (q - 1) \binom{n}{d}$.

2. Consider any subset of $d + 1 = n - k + 2$ coordinates, call it S . Take two of these coordinates and combine them with the remaining $k - 2$ coordinates to form an information set. Fix the components in the $k - 2$ coordinates to zero, and let the remaining two coordinates run freely through \mathbb{F}_q . These q^2 information set combinations must correspond to q^2 codewords. (In fact, we may view this subset of codewords as a shortened $(d + 1, 2, d)$ MDS code.) One of these codewords must be the all-zero codeword, since the code is linear. The remaining $q^2 - 1$ codewords must have weight d or $d + 1$. Among the remaining $n - (k - 2)$ positions pick one, call it a , and set its value to zero. Now there is a set of $k - 1$ positions with zeroes. Referring to part 1. we know that there the number of codewords with weight d and with zeroes on these positions is $q - 1$. There are $d + 1 = \binom{d+1}{d}$ ways to choose a . So the number of codewords with zeroes in set S and of weight $d + 1$ is

$$(q^2 - 1) - \binom{d+1}{d}(q-1).$$

The expression for N_{d+1} then follows by considering all subsets S of cardinality $k - 1$ among the n coordinates. □