

ASSIGNMENT 2

Exercise 1 (Block coding). Suppose a source generates X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n in an i.i.d. fashion and suppose we encode these symbols all at once, instead of symbol-by-symbol. Exhibit a coding scheme whose per-symbol expected length lies between $H(X)$ and $H(X) + 1/n$.

Solution. Use a Shannon code over a super-symbol (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) . □

Exercise 2 (Bad codes). Which of the following binary codes cannot be a Huffman code for any distribution? Why?

- a. 0, 10, 111, 101
- b. 00, 010, 011, 10, 110
- c. 1, 000, 001, 010, 011

Solution. a. A Huffman code is a prefix free code but here we have 10 which is a prefix of 101.

- b. This is not a Huffman code since codeword 110 does not have any sibling. Hence, the code could be improved by replacing this codeword with 11.
- c. This is a Huffman code for distribution $(0.4, 0.15, 0.15, 0.15, 0.15)$ for instance. □

Exercise 3 (Huffman codes). For the distribution (p_1, \dots, p_n) , where

$$p_1 > p_2 > \dots > p_n > 0,$$

we have an optimal binary prefix code. Show that

- a. If $p_1 > 2/5$ then the corresponding codeword has length 1.
- b. If $p_1 < 1/3$ then the corresponding codeword has length at least 2.

Solution. Consider the algorithm for constructing Huffman codes. Let (q_1, \dots, q_k) , $k \geq 1$ be the distribution at the $(n - k)$ -th iteration of the algorithm, sorted in the decreasing order. Note that for $k = n$, $(q_1, \dots, q_k) = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. In the next iteration, the two smallest probabilities, q_{k-1} and q_k are replaced by their sum $q_{k-1} + q_k$, then a Huffman code for set of probabilities $(q_1, \dots, q_{k-2}, q_{k-1} + q_k)$ is constructed. Suppose the corresponding codes are (C_1, \dots, C_{k-1}) , then the Huffman code for distribution (q_1, \dots, q_k) will be $(C_1, \dots, C_{k-2}, C_{k-1} * 0, C_{k-1} * 1)$ where $*$ denotes concatenation.

- a. Suppose, by contradiction, that the codeword for p_1 is greater or equal than 2, and consider the first place where p_1 becomes the second largest probability. More precisely, let

$$q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \dots \geq q_{k+1},$$

$k \geq 3$, $q_1 = p_1$ and $q_k + q_{k+1} \geq q_1$. Now, notice that $q_2 \geq q_k \geq \frac{q_k + q_{k+1}}{2} \geq \frac{q_1}{2}$. So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} q_i \geq q_1 + q_2 + q_k + q_{k+1} \\ &\geq q_1 + \frac{q_1}{2} + q_1 = \frac{5}{2}p_1 \\ &> \frac{5}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{5} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

b. Similarly as above consider (q_1, q_2, q_3) with

$$q_1 \geq q_2 \geq q_3,$$

and $q_1 = p_1$. Then

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 q_i \leq 3q_1 = 3p_1 < 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} = 1,$$

a contradiction.

□

Exercise 4 (Huffman code for a wrong source). The purpose of this problem is to see what happens when you design a code for the wrong set of probabilities. Consider a Huffman code that is designed for a symbol source whose probability is given by P . Suppose that we use this code for the source with distribution Q . Find the average number of binary code symbols per source symbol and compare it with the entropy of the source for the following.

1. $P = (0.5, 0.3, 0.2)$, $Q = (0.65, 0.2, 0.15)$
2. $P = (0.5, 0.3, 0.2)$, $Q = (0.15, 0.2, 0.65)$
3. $P = (0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.1)$, $Q = (0.3, 0.2, 0.3, 0.2)$

Can the optimal codes for P and Q be the same?

Solution. Let $L(X)$ denote the length of the codeword for symbol X . Let $\mathbb{E}_Q[L]$ denote the expected value of $L(X)$ and $H_Q(X)$ denote the entropy when X has distribution Q .

1. A code for P is $(0, 10, 11)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[L] = 0.65 \times 1 + 0.2 \times 2 + 0.15 \times 2 = 1.35$. We calculate the entropy to be $H_Q(X) \approx 1.28$. The optimal code for P and Q could be the same.
2. A code for P is $(0, 10, 11)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[L] = 0.15 \times 1 + 0.2 \times 2 + 0.65 \times 2 = 1.65$. The entropy is the same as in the case above. The optimal code for P and Q are different but the set of codewords could be the same.
3. A code for P is $(0, 10, 110, 111)$ and $\mathbb{E}_Q[L] = 0.3 \times 1 + 0.2 \times 2 + 0.3 \times 3 + 0.2 \times 3 = 2.2$. We calculate the entropy to be $H_Q(X) \approx 1.97$.

□

Exercise 5 (Shannon code, divergence). Suppose we wrongly estimate the probability of a source of information, and that we use a Shannon code for a distribution Q whereas the true distribution is P . Show that

$$H(P) + D(P||Q) \leq L(C) \leq H(P) + D(P||Q) + 1.$$

So $D(P||Q)$ can be interpreted as the increase in descriptive complexity due to incorrect information. Note that this interpretation only holds for a Shannon code. For a Huffman code with $P = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $Q = (2^{-50}, 1 - 2^{-50})$ the inequality is violated.

Solution. For a Shannon code for distribution Q , the length of the codeword of a symbol X is $\lceil \log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \rceil$. Let $\mathbb{E}_P[\cdot]$ denote the expectation under the distribution P . Observe that

$$\log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \leq \lceil \log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \rceil \leq \log \frac{1}{Q(X)} + 1.$$

Then, the result follows from the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_P \left[\log \frac{1}{Q(X)} \right] &= \sum_x P(x) \log \frac{1}{Q(x)} \\ &= \sum_x P(x) \log \left(\frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} \frac{1}{P(x)} \right) \\ &= \sum_x P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} + \sum_x P(x) \log \frac{1}{P(x)} \\ &= D(P||Q) + H(P) \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 6 (Huffman Codes). The sequence of six independent realizations of source X is encoded symbol-by-symbol using a binary Huffman code. The resulted string is 10110000101. We know that the alphabet of X has five elements and that its distribution is either $(0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.05, 0.05)$ or $(0.3, 0.25, 0.2, 0.2, 0.05)$. Which of them is the distribution of X ?

Solution. By the result in Exer.3b., every codeword in a Huffman code for the second distribution should be of length at least 2. We know that there are 6 realizations of X and hence the string 10110000101 (of length 11) could not have been produced by a Huffman code for the second distribution. A possible Huffman code for the first distribution, namely $(0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.05, 0.05)$ is $(1, 01, 000, 0010, 0011)$ (Note that Huffman codes are not unique!). Using this code, one can decode the string 10110000101 as $1, 01, 1, 000, 01, 01$. Hence, the probability distribution of X is $(0.4, 0.3, 0.2, 0.05, 0.05)$. □

Exercise 7 (Pure randomness from biased distributions). Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n denote the outcomes of independent flips of a biased coin. Thus, for $i = 1, \dots, n$ we have $\Pr(X_i = 1) = p, \Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p$, where p is unknown. We wish to obtain a sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K of fair coin flips from X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n . To this end let $f : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ (where $\{0, 1\}^* = \{\Lambda, 0, 1, 00, 01, \dots\}$ is the set of all finite length binary sequences including the null string Λ) be a mapping $f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K)$, such that $Z_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(1/2)$ and where K possibly depends on (X_1, \dots, X_n) . For the sequence Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K to correspond to fair coin flips, the map f from biased coin flips to fair flips must have the property that all 2^k sequences (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) of a given length k have equal probability (possibly 0). For example, for $n = 2$, the map $f(01) = 0, f(10) = 1, f(00) = f(11) = \Lambda$ has the property that $\Pr(Z_1 = 1|K = 1) = \Pr(Z_1 = 0|K = 1) = 1/2$.

a. Justify the following (in)equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 nH_b(p) &\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\
 &\stackrel{(b)}{\geq} H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K, K) \\
 &\stackrel{(c)}{=} H(K) + H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_K|K) \\
 &\stackrel{(d)}{=} H(K) + E(K) \\
 &\stackrel{(e)}{\geq} E(K)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $E(K)$ denotes the expectation of K . Thus, on average, no more than $nH_b(p)$ fair coin tosses can be derived from (X_1, \dots, X_n) .

b. Exhibit a good map f on sequences of length $n = 4$.

Solution. a. (a.) the X_i 's are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p) distributed; (b) (Z^K, K) is a function of X^n ; (c) chain rule; (d) given $K = k$, (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k) is an i.i.d. Bernoulli($1/2$) sequence, hence $H(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k|K = k) = k$, from which the result follows; (e) non-negativity of the entropy.

b. One possibility is as follows. Let T_k be the set of binary sequences of length 4 with exactly k ones ($k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 4\}$). Observe that T_1 and T_3 each have four elements, and each contains equiprobable elements (obviously, the elements in T_1 have a different probability than those in T_3). We map the 4 elements in T_1 in 00, 01, 10, and 11, and similarly for T_3 . It follows that, given $K = 2$, (Z_1, Z_2) are purely random. To see this note that for any pair of bit (i, j)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr((Z_1, Z_2) = (i, j)|K = 2) &= \Pr((Z_1, Z_2) = (i, j)|X^4 \in T_1 \cup T_3) \\
 &= \Pr((Z_1, Z_2) = (i, j)|X^4 \in T_1)\Pr(X^4 \in T_1|X^4 \in T_1 \cup T_3) \\
 &\quad + \Pr((Z_1, Z_2) = (i, j)|X^4 \in T_3)\Pr(X^4 \in T_3|X^4 \in T_1 \cup T_3) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}\Pr(X^4 \in T_1|X^4 \in T_1 \cup T_3) + \frac{1}{4}\Pr(X^4 \in T_3|X^4 \in T_1 \cup T_3) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

All the elements in T_0, T_2 , and T_4 are mapped into Λ .

□

Exercise 8 (Entropy bound). Let $p(x)$ be a probability mass function of random variable X . Prove that

$$\log(1/d)\Pr\{p(X) \leq d\} \leq H(X)$$

for any $d \geq 0$. *Hint* – Use Markov's inequality.

Solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pr\{p(X) \leq d\} &= \Pr\{-\log p(X) \geq -\log d\} \\
 &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[-\log p(X)]}{-\log d}
 \end{aligned}$$

by Markov's inequality. The result follows by observing that $\mathbb{E}[-\log p(X)] = H(X)$.

□