## **Telecom Paris**

## ASSIGNMENT 4

**Exercise 1** (Zyablov bound). We will show a low complexity procedure based on code concatenation to design an explicit code which achieves  $R>0, \delta>0$ . By low complexity we mean subexponential in the block length.

From Exercise 7 Assignment 2 there exists linear codes over [q] whose asymptotic rate  $r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{k(n)}{n}$  and relative minimum distance  $\delta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{d(n)}{n}$  satisfy the GV bound

$$r \geq 1 - H_q(\delta)$$
.

1. Argue that to find a length n code whose rate and relative minimum distance satisfy the

$$r \ge 1 - H_q(\delta) - \varepsilon$$

it takes  $q^{O(kn)}$  time, as opposed to  $q^{O(q^kn)}$  time if the code has no structure. Hint: how many generator matrices are there with parameters k, n?

2. Consider concatenating a linear code approaching the GV bound (inner code) and a Reed Solomon code (outer code). Show that such a construction yields an asymptotic rate

$$\mathcal{R} \ge \sup_{r \ge 0} r \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{H_q^{-1}(1 - r - \varepsilon)} \right)$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $\delta$  represents the relative minimum distance of the concatenated code and where r denotes the rate of the inner code. This bound is called the Zyablov bound.

- 3. Plot the Zyablov bound and the GV bound (rate as a function of relative minimum distance).
- 4. Argue that it is possibe to construct an explicit code achieving the Zyablov bound with time complexity  $\mathcal{N}^{\mathcal{O}(\log \mathcal{N})}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes the length of the concatenated code.

Hence, although the Zyablov bound is lower than the GV bound, it is easier to construct a code that achieves the Zyablov bound (by concatenation) than to construct a linear code achieving the GV bound (which takes  $O(q^N)$  time).

**Exercise 2** (Binary symmetric channel). Let us examine the performance of linear codes against random errors. The binary symmetric channel with crossover probability p < 1/2 is defined by the following process: Given a codeword  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , we generate a random vector  $\mathbf{y}$  where  $y_i$  is obtained by flipping  $c_i$  with probability p, independently of everything else. Equivalently,

$$y = c + z$$

where z is a random vector whose components are independent and follow a Bernoulli(p) distribution. Here y is called the received vector, and z the noise vector.

We will measure the performance of a code  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of size  $2^{nR}$  using the average probability of error under a minimum distance decoder  $DEC(\mathbf{y}) = \arg\min_{\mathbf{c} \in C} d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c})$ :

$$P_{e}(C) = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} \Pr_{\mathbf{z}}[\exists \mathbf{c}' \in C \setminus \{\mathbf{c}\} : DEC(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{c}']$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in C} \Pr_{\mathbf{z}}[\exists \mathbf{c}' \in C \setminus \{\mathbf{c}\} : d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c}') \le d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c})],$$

where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes Hamming distance. This is the average probability that there exists a codeword different from c, that is closer to the received vector.

The goal of this and the next exercise is to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist linear codes of rate  $R = 1 - H(p) - \epsilon$  whose probability of error is  $2^{-\Omega(n)}$ .

1. First, show that the Hamming distance between y and c is approximately np:

$$\Pr[d(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y}) > np(1 + \epsilon/2)] < 2^{-\Omega(n)}$$

*Hint:* Find the probability that z has Hamming weight greater than  $np(1 + \epsilon/2)$ . You can use Chernoff bound, or directly compute the probability and then use Stirling's approximation.

2. Next, show that the probability of error can be bounded from above as  $P_e(\mathcal{C}) \leq P_e^{(1)} + P_e^{(2)}$ , where

$$P_e^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2^{nR}} \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathcal{C}} \Pr_{\mathbf{z}} [\exists \mathbf{c}' \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{\mathbf{c}\} : d(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{c}') \le np(1 + \epsilon/2)]$$

and

$$P_e^{(2)} = \Pr[d(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y}) > np(1 + \epsilon/2)] \le 2^{-\Omega(n)}$$

- 3. Let us now find the probability of error for a random linear code obtained by choosing a generator matrix G uniformly. Show that for any two nonzero message vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{u}_2$ , the corresponding codeword  $\mathbf{u}_1 G$  and  $\mathbf{u}_2 G$  are statistically independent.
- 4. For fixed messages  $\mathbf{u}_1 \neq \mathbf{u}_2$ , show that

$$\Pr_{G,\mathbf{z}} \left[ d(\mathbf{u}_1 G, \mathbf{u}_2 G + \mathbf{z}) < np(1 + \epsilon/2) \right] \le 2^{-n(1 - H(p(1 + \epsilon/2)) + o(1))}$$

*Hint:* First compute  $\Pr_G\left[d(\mathbf{u}_1G,\mathbf{x})< np(1+\epsilon/2)\right]$  for a fixed  $\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then average over  $\mathbf{z}$ .

- 5. Use part 4 to show that if  $R < 1 H(p) \epsilon$ , then  $P_e^{(2)} = 2^{-\Omega(n)}$ .
- 6. Combine everything to prove that there exists a linear code with rate  $R \ge 1 H(p) \epsilon$  and  $P_e = o(1)$ .